## Stormwater Site Plan

#### PREPARED FOR:

Tuan Nguyen 8937 SE 56<sup>th</sup> Street Mercer Island, WA 98040

#### PROJECT:

Ngyuen Residence 8937 SE 56<sup>th</sup> Street Mercer Island, WA 98040 SitePro #2023014

PREPARED BY:

Nicholas A. Rheaume, PE Principal

DATE:

May, 2023





I hereby state that this Stormwater Site Plan for the Ngyuen Residence project has been prepared by me or under my supervision, and meets the standard of care and expertise that is usual and customary in this community for professional engineers. I understand that Mercer Island does not and will not assume liability for the sufficiency, suitability, or performance of drainage facilities prepared by me.

### **Stormwater Site Plan**

PREPARED FOR:

Tuan Ngyuen 8937 SE 56<sup>th</sup> Street Mercer Island, WA 98040

#### PROJECT:

Ngyuen Residence 8937 SE 56<sup>th</sup> Street Mercer Island, WA 98040 SitePro #2023014

PREPARED BY:

Nicholas A. Rheaume, PE Principal

DATE:

May, 2023

## **Table of Contents**

Sectio	on	Pag	je
1.0	Propo	sed Project Description	1
2.0	Existir	ng Conditions	1
3.0	Infiltra	ation Rate / Soils Reports	1
4.0	Wells	and Septic Systems	2
5.0	Fuel T	anks	2
6.0	Subba	sin Description	2
7.0	Flood	blain Analysis	2
8.0	Aesth	etic Considerations for Facilities	2
9.0	Facilit	y Sizing and Downstream Analysis	2
	9.1	MR 1 – Preparation of Stormwater Site Plan	2
	9.2	MR 2 – Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan	2
	9.3	MR 3 – Source Control of Pollution	2
	9.4	MR 4 – Preservation of Natural Drainage Systems and Outfalls	3
	9.5	MR 5 – Onsite Stormwater Management	3
	9.6	MR 6 – Runoff Treatment	3
	9.7	MR 7 – Flow Control	3
	9.8	MR 8 – Wetlands Protection	3
	9.9	MR 9 – Basin/Watershed Planning	.4
	9.10	MR 10 – Operation and Maintenance	.4
	9.11	Downstream Analysis	.4
10.0	Utilitie	es	. 4
11.0	Coven	ants, Dedication, Easements	. 4
12.0	Prope	rty Owners' Association Articles of Incorporation	. 4
13.0	Other	Permits or Conditions Placed on the Project	. 4



## Appendices

### **Appendix A**

### Exhibits

A-1	Vicinity Map
A-2	Minimum Requirement Flow Charts
A-3	MR#5 Flowchart
A-4	FEMA Flood Map
A-5	Soils Information

### **Appendix B**

#### **Basin Maps**

B-1..... Existing Conditions Map B-2..... Postdeveloped Conditions Map

### Appendix C

### Calculations

C-1.....Soil Logs and Infiltration Rate Calculations C-2.....WWHM Modeling Output (Infiltration Trenches)



## 1.0 Proposed Project Description

The project site is located at 8937 SE 56<sup>th</sup> Street in Mercer Island, Washington. The site is situated on Parcel No. 6672900440, and encompasses approximately 0.27 acres. The project is to create a new single family residence and associated walkways and hardscapes. Stormwater will be collected and infiltrated onsite in order to comply with the Department of Ecology Stormwater Management Manual adopted by the City of Mercer Island. Refer to Appendix AI for a Vicinity Map.

Table 2 below summarizes the land use in the affected portion of the site before and after development. A pre and post developed basin map can be found in Appendix B

### Table 2. Basin Land Use

Basin	Impervious (acres)	Pervious (acres)	Total Area (acres)
Existing Conditions	0.09	0.08	0.17
Developed Conditions	0.11	0.06	0.17

The project triggers minimum requirements #1 - #5. See Appendix A2 for flow chart for determining minimum requirements and A3 for flow chart for determining minimum requirement #5.

## 2.0 Existing Conditions

The project site is bounded by 56<sup>th</sup> Street SE on the north side. Single family residences are located to the south, east, and west sides of the project site. An existing residence, driveway, and walkways are located in the center of the site. The remaining site area is landscaped. The site is relatively flat with slopes from 1% - 2% and generally slopes from south to north.

The site is not located within a 100 year floodplain. It is mapped as Zone X, area of minimal flood hazard. See Appendix A4 for FEMA map.

## 3.0 Infiltration Rate / Soils Reports

Soils in the Project Area are Mapped as Arents, Alderwood Material per USDA Web Soil Survey. See Appendix A5. Soil Logs and Infiltration testing were performed by SitePro Engineering. Two excavations were made revealing sandy loam soils to roughly 4' below grade underlain by cemented clayey loam soils. Soil type was visually classified on site. A falling head percolation test was performed on site. Drawdown time was measured at 15 min intervals and correction factors applied. The sandy loam soils were well drained resulting in an infiltration rate of 8 in / hr. after correction factors. See Appendix C for soil logs and infiltration rate calculations. It should be noted that the site has been utilizing infiltration for the existing home and an existing infiltration trench with perforated pipe and drain rock was discovered during excavation.



## 4.0 Wells and Septic Systems

There are no wells or septic systems located on the site.

## 5.0 Fuel Tanks

There are no known fuel tanks located on the project site.

## 6.0 Subbasin Description

The project site is tributary to the municipal stormwater system at the intersection of SE 56<sup>th</sup> Street and 91<sup>st</sup> Ave SE. The system runs north to SE 54<sup>th</sup> Street and NE from there where it is discharged to a ravine. An unnamed waterway drains SE to the east shore of the Island and drains to Lake Washington roughly 2,200 SE of the site.

## 7.0 Floodplain Analysis

The project does not lie within a 100-year flood plain.

### 8.0 Aesthetic Considerations for Facilities

Stormwater facilities for the project are all below grade. Site grading has been designed to blend into the existing contours of the land. Areas around the home will be restored and landscaped in an aesthetic manner.

## 9.0 Facility Sizing and Downstream Analysis

The project is subject to minimum requirements #1 - #5 as set forth in the Department of Ecology Stormwater Management Manual Adopted by the City of Mercer Island.

### 9.1 MR 1 – Preparation of Stormwater Site Plan

This Stormwater Site Plan meets this requirement.

### 9.2 MR 2 – Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

The Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) (provided under separate cover) addresses the specific elements of the SWPPP.

### 9.3 MR 3 – Source Control of Pollution

The Construction SWPPP will provide Best Management Practices (BMPs) to manage pollution-generating activities during construction. The BMPs will address preventing erosion and sediment transport. The Construction SWPPP will also contain BMP measures regarding spill prevention.

Post-construction site soils will be amended in conformance with BMP T5.13.



### 9.4 MR 4 – Preservation of Natural Drainage Systems and Outfalls

Drainage patterns will not be altered by the project. Stormwater from roof and driveway areas will be infiltrated on site. Stormwater from disturbed areas will continue to drain toward the north, which is similar to the predeveloped condition.

### 9.5 MR 5 – Onsite Stormwater Management

The project has elected to provide list #1 BMP's and apply soil preservation and amendment to satisfy MR#5. List #1 BMP's are required to be considered in the order listed and applied utilizing the first BMP considered feasible.

### **Roof Areas**

For roof areas, List #1 prioritizes Full Dispersion or Full Infiltration as the most desirable BMP's. A downspout infiltration system has been selected from list #1 and designed for proposed roof areas. Site specific soils information and infiltration testing were used in combination with WWHM modeling software in order to size the trench, rather than selecting from the standard tables. The design meets the requirements and criteria listed in BMP T5.10A. No further BMP's were considered for roof areas.

### **Other Hard Surfaces**

List #1 prioritizes Full dispersion as the most desirable BMP. The site is a small urban lot and as such, Full dispersion is not feasible for numerous reasons, the primary reason being insufficient space for flowpaths and lack of native vegetation. Full dispersion was not selected.

List #1 prioritizes Permeable Pavement or Rain Gardens or Bioretention as the second most desirable BMP set. Permeable Pavement has been selected from list #1 for the proposed sidewalks and will meet all requirements and criteria listed in BMP T5.15. No further BMP's were considered for sidewalk areas.

The driveway will be overlayed for maintenance and is not considered new or replaced. See Appendix A3 for List #1 selections. See Appendix B1 and B2 for Pre and Post Developed areas. WWHM calculations for sizing of the downspout infiltration system can be found in Appendix C2. BMP T5.13 will be applied to all disturbed pervious areas.

### 9.6 MR 6 – Runoff Treatment

The project is not subject to MR#6

### 9.7 MR 7 – Flow Control

The project is not subject to MR#7

#### 9.8 MR 8 – Wetlands Protection

The project is not subject to MR#8.



### 9.9 MR 9 – Basin/Watershed Planning

The project is not subject to MR#9

### 9.10 MR 10 – Operation and Maintenance

The project is not subject to MR#10

### 9.11 Downstream Analysis

The project site is tributary to the municipal stormwater system at the intersection of SE 56<sup>th</sup> Street and 91<sup>st</sup> Ave SE. The system runs north to SE 54<sup>th</sup> Street and NE from there where it is discharged to a ravine. An unnamed waterway drains SE to the east shore of the Island and drains to Lake Washington roughly 2,200 SE of the site. No flooding or erosion damage was observed. Stormwater from the project site will be infiltrated on-site and will not contribute any flows, flooding, or erosion to the downstream. It is our opinion that there will be no negative impacts with the proposed design.

### 10.0 Utilities

Stormwater facilities have been designed away from existing and proposed utilities with proper clearances and setbacks.

### 11.0 Covenants, Dedication, Easements

There are no known covenants, dedications, or easements on the site. None are needed for the proposed stormwater facilities.

### 12.0 Property Owners' Association Articles of Incorporation

Not Applicable.

### 13.0 Other Permits or Conditions Placed on the Project

A building permit is required for construction of the single family residence. No other permits are anticipated for the development.



## **Appendix A**

## Exhibits

- A-1.....Vicinity Map
- A-2.....Minimum Requirement Flow Charts
- A-3.....MR#5 Flowchart
- A-4.....FEMA Flood Map
- A-5.....Soils Information





## Figure I-3.1: Flow Chart for Determining Requirements for New Development



## Figure I-3.2: Flow Chart for Determining Requirements for Redevelopment





### **Figure I-3.3: Flow Chart for Determining MR #5 Requirements**

# Table I-2.5.1 On-Site Stormwater Management Requirements forProjects Triggering Minimum Requirements #1 - #9

Project Type and Location	Requirement	
New development on any parcel inside	Low Impact Development Performance	
the LIGA or new development outside the	Standard and <u>BMP T5.13: Post-Construction</u>	
LIGA on a parcel less than 5 acres	Soil Quality and Depth (p.911); or List #2	
	(applicant option).	
Now development outside the LICA on a	Low Impact Development Performance	
new development outside the OGA of a	Standard and <u>BMP T5.13: Post-Construction</u>	
parcer of 5 acres of larger	Soil Quality and Depth (p.911).	
Redevelopment on any percel inside the	Low Impact Development Performance	
LICA or redevelopment outside the LICA	Standard and <u>BMP T5.13: Post-Construction</u>	
on a parcel loss than 5 acros	Soil Quality and Depth (p.911); or List #2	
on a parcer less man 5 acres	(applicant option).	
Redevelopment outside the LICA on a per	Low Impact Development Performance	
col of 5 acros or larger	Standard and <u>BMP T5.13: Post-Construction</u>	
	Soil Quality and Depth (p.911).	
Note: This table refers to the Urban Growth Area (UGA) as designated under the		
Growth Management Act (GMA) (Chapter 36.70A RCW) of the State of Washington. If		
the Permittee is located in a county that is not subject to planning under the GMA, the		
city limits shall be used.		

### Low Impact Development Performance Standard

Stormwater discharges shall match developed discharge durations to predeveloped durations for the range of pre-developed discharge rates from 8% of the 2-year peak flow to 50% of the 2-year peak flow. Refer to the Standard Flow Control Requirement section in Minimum Requirement #7 for information about the assignment of the pre-developed condition. Project sites that must also meet minimum requirement #7 – flow control - must match flow durations between 8% of the 2-year flow through the full 50-year flow.

### *List #1: On-site Stormwater Management BMPs for Projects Triggering Minimum Requirements #1 through #5*

For each surface, consider the BMP's in the order listed for that type of surface. Use the first BMP that is considered feasible. No other On-site Stormwater Management BMP is necessary for that surface. Feasibility shall be determined by evaluation against:

1. Design criteria, limitations, and infeasibility criteria identified for each BMP in this manual; and

2. Competing Needs Criteria listed in <u>Chapter V-5 - On-Site Stormwater Man-agement (p.903)</u>.

Lawn and landscaped areas:

• Post-Construction Soil Quality and Depth in accordance with <u>BMP T5.13:</u> <u>Post-Construction Soil Quality and Depth (p.911)</u>.

Roofs:

- 1. Full Dispersion in accordance with <u>BMP T5.30: Full Dispersion (p.939)</u>, or <u>Downspout Full Infiltration Systems in accordance with <u>BMP T5.10A: Down-</u> <u>spout Full Infiltration (p.905)</u></u>
- 2. Rain Gardens in accordance with <u>BMP T5.14A: Rain Gardens (p.915)</u>, or Bioretention in accordance with <u>BMP T7.30: Bioretention Cells, Swales, and</u> <u>Planter Boxes (p.959)</u>. The rain garden or bioretention facility must have a minimum horizontal projected surface area below the overflow which is at least 5% of the area draining to it.
- 3. Downspout Dispersion Systems in accordance with <u>BMP T5.10B: Down</u>spout Dispersion Systems (p.905)
- 4. Perforated Stub-out Connections in accordance with <u>BMP T5.10C: Per-</u> forated Stub-out Connections (p.905)

### **Other Hard Surfaces:**

A. Full Dispersion in accordance with <u>BMR T5.30: Full Dispersion (p.939)</u>

- 2. Permeable pavement<sup>1</sup> in accordance with <u>BMP T5.15: Permeable Pavements</u> (p.917), or Rain Gardens in accordance with <u>BMP T5.14A: Rain Gardens</u> (p.915), or Bioretention in accordance with <u>BMP T7.30: Bioretention Cells,</u> <u>Swales, and Planter Boxes (p.959)</u>. The rain garden or bioretention facility must have a minimum horizontal projected surface area below the overflow which is at least 5% of the area draining to it.
- 3. Sheet Flow Dispersion in accordance with <u>BMP T5.12: Sheet Flow Dispersion</u> (p.908), or Concentrated Flow Dispersion in accordance with <u>BMP T5.11: Con</u>centrated Flow Dispersion (p.905).

### *List #2: On-site Stormwater Management BMPs for Projects Triggering Minimum Requirements #1 through #9*

For each surface, consider the BMPs in the order listed for that type of surface. Use the first BMP that is considered feasible. No other On-site Stormwater

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This is not a requirement to pave these surfaces. Where pavement is proposed, it must be permeable to the extent feasible unless full dispersion is employed.

Management BMP is necessary for that surface. Feasibility shall be determined by evaluation against:

- 1. Design criteria, limitations, and infeasibility criteria identified for each BMP in this manual; and
- 2. Competing Needs Criteria listed in <u>Chapter V-5 On-Site Stormwater Man-agement (p.903)</u>.

Lawn and landscaped areas:

• Post-Construction Soil Quality and Depth in accordance with <u>BMP T5.13:</u> <u>Post-Construction Soil Quality and Depth (p.911)</u>.

Roofs:

- 1. Full Dispersion in accordance with <u>BMP T5.30: Full Dispersion (p.939)</u>, or Downspout Full Infiltration Systems in accordance with <u>BMP T5.10A: Down</u>-<u>spout Full Infiltration (p.905)</u>.
- 2. Bioretention (See <u>BMP T7.30: Bioretention Cells, Swales, and Planter Boxes</u> (p.959)) facilities that have a minimum horizontally projected surface area below the overflow which is at least 5% of the total surface area draining to it.
- 3. Downspout Dispersion Systems in accordance with <u>BMP T5.10B: Down</u>spout Dispersion Systems (p.905)
- 4. Perforated Stub-out Connections in accordance with <u>BMP T5.10C: Per-</u> forated Stub-out Connections (p.905)

**Other Hard Surfaces:** 

- 1. Full Dispersion in accordance with <u>BMP T5.30: Full Dispersion (p.939)</u>
- 2. Permeable pavement<sup>1</sup> in accordance with <u>BMP T5.15: Permeable Pavements</u> (p.917)
- 3. Bioretention BMP's (<u>BMP T7.30: Bioretention Cells, Swales, and Planter</u> <u>Boxes (p.959)</u>) that have a minimum horizontally projected surface area below the overflow which is at least 5% of the total surface area draining to it.
- 4. Sheet Flow Dispersion in accordance with <u>BMP T5.12: Sheet Flow Dispersion</u> (p.908), or Concentrated Flow Dispersion in accordance with <u>BMP T5.11: Con-</u> <u>centrated Flow Dispersion (p.905)</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This is not a requirement to pave these surfaces. Where pavement is proposed, it must be permeable to the extent feasible unless full dispersion is employed.

## National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



### Legend



250

500

1,000

1,500

2.000

Basemap: USGS National Map: Orthoimagery: Data refreshed October, 2020

regulatory purposes.



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation Service A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

# Custom Soil Resource Report for **King County Area**, **Washington**



## Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2\_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

## Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	8
Soil Map	9
Legend	10
Map Unit Legend	11
Map Unit Descriptions	11
King County Area, Washington	13
AmB—Arents, Alderwood material, 0 to 6 percent slopes	13
References	14

## **How Soil Surveys Are Made**

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

## Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.

#### Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map



	MAP LEGEND			MAP INFORMATION
Area of In	terest (AOI)	330	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at
	Area of Interest (AOI)	٥	Stony Spot	1:24,000.
Soils	Coll Mars Link Dalaman	0	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
		\$2	Wet Spot	
$\sim$	Soil Map Unit Lines	Δ	Other	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause
	Soil Map Unit Points		Special Line Features	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
Special	Point Features	Water Features		contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.
	Borrow Pit	$\sim$	Streams and Canals	
	Clay Spot	Transport	tation	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map
衆	Classed Depression	+++	Rails	measurements.
$\diamond$	Closed Depression	~	Interstate Highways	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
4	Gravel Plt	~	US Routes	Web Soil Survey URL:
000	Gravelly Spot	$\approx$	Major Roads	Coordinate System. Web Mercator (EPSG.3657)
ø	Landfill	$\sim$	Local Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator
Λ.	Lava Flow	Backgrou	ind	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the
خلله	Marsh or swamp	Mar.	Aerial Photography	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more
Ŕ	Mine or Quarry			accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
0	Miscellaneous Water			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as
0	Perennial Water			of the version date(s) listed below.
$\sim$	Rock Outcrop			Soil Survey Area: King County Area, Washington
+	Saline Spot			Survey Area Data: Version 18, Sep 8, 2022
0 0 0 0	Sandy Spot			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales
-	Severely Eroded Spot			1:50,000 or larger.
0	Sinkhole			Date(s) aerial images were photographed:
\$	Slide or Slip			2022
ø	Sodic Spot			The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

## **Map Unit Legend**

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
AmB	Arents, Alderwood material, 0 to 6 percent slopes	0.4	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		0.4	100.0%

## **Map Unit Descriptions**

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

### King County Area, Washington

### AmB—Arents, Alderwood material, 0 to 6 percent slopes

#### **Map Unit Setting**

National map unit symbol: 1hmsp Elevation: 160 to 590 feet Mean annual precipitation: 35 to 60 inches Mean annual air temperature: 50 degrees F Frost-free period: 150 to 200 days Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated

#### **Map Unit Composition**

Arents, alderwood material, and similar soils: 100 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Arents, Alderwood Material**

#### Setting

Landform: Till plains Parent material: Basal till

#### **Typical profile**

*H1 - 0 to 26 inches:* gravelly sandy loam *H2 - 26 to 60 inches:* very gravelly sandy loam

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 6 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 40 inches to densic material
Drainage class: Moderately well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.06 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 16 to 36 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 2.3 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4s Hydrologic Soil Group: B/D Hydric soil rating: No

## References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2\_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2\_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE\_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2\_052290.pdf

## **Appendix B**

## **Basin Maps**

B-1.....Existing Conditions Map

B-2.....Postdeveloped Conditions Map







## Calculations

C-1.....Soil Logs and Infiltration Rate Calculations

C-2.....WWHM Modeling Output (Infiltration Trenches)





### TP 2 DRAWDOWN MEASURMENTS FOR INFILTRATION TEST

0-15 MIN - 11" 15 - 30 MIN - 8" 30 - 45 MIN - 8" 45 - 60 MIN - 5"

SITEPRO VISITED THE SITE ON 5/4/2023 TO PERFORM A FALLING HEAD PERCOLATION TEST. TWO TEST HOLES WERE DUG TO 4' DEPTH. THE FOLLING HEAD PERCOLATION TEST WAS PERFORMED IN TP2 AT A DEPTH OF 3'. INFILTRATION RATE CALCULATIONS ARE PROVIDED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE.

<u>TP1</u>

0-6" - TOPSOIL / ORGANICS 6"-3' - SANDY LOAM SOILS 3'-4' - CEMENTED CLAYEY LOAM SOILS TERMINATED @ 4' NO GROUNDWATER / MOTTLING

TP2 0-6" - TOPSOIL / ORGANICS 6"-4' - SANDY LOAM SOILS TERMINATED @ 4' NO GROUNDWATER / MOTTLING

SHEET NAME:

SOIL LOGS

SHEET NUMBER:

C-1



## INFILTRATION RATE CALCULATION - TP2

TEST METHOD	US EPA FALLING HEAD
PRE-SOAK	6 hours
SOIL TYPE	Sandy Loam
INTERVAL (MIN.)	15
DROP (IN.)	8
INFILTRATION RATE (MIN. / IN.)	1.875
INFILTRATION RATE (IN. / HR.)	32

EXCAVATION DIMENSIONS (FT)		
LENGTH (L)	2	
WIDTH (W)	3	
DEPTH (D)	4	
BOTTOM AREA (A)	6	
VOLUME (V)	24	
PIPE DIAMETER (IN)	4	
Depth to Groundwater / Restictive Layer	N/A	
Separation from Groundwater / Restictive Layer (D)	1	

I <sub>measured</sub> (IN./HR.)	
	32
F <sub>testing</sub>	
US EPA FALLING HEAD = 0.40	0.4
F <sub>geometry</sub> = 4D/W+0.05 (0.25 - 1.0)	
1.38333333	1
F <sub>plugging</sub>	
0.7 FOR LOAMS AND SANDY LOAMS	0.7
	_
$I_{design} = I_{measured} \times F_{testing} \times F_{geometry} \times F_{plugging}$	
	8.96
	Use 8.00 in / hr

## WWHM2012

## **PROJECT REPORT**

## **General Model Information**

Project Name:	Ngyuen Residence
Site Name:	Ngyuen Residence
Site Address:	8937 SE 56th ST
City:	Mercer Island
Report Date:	5/25/2023
Gage:	Seatac
Data Start:	1948/10/01
Data End:	2009/09/30
Timestep:	15 Minute
Precip Scale:	1.000
Version Date:	2019/09/13
Version:	4.2.17

## **POC Thresholds**

Low Flow Threshold for POC1:	50 Percent of the 2 Year
High Flow Threshold for POC1:	50 Year

Landuse Basin Data Predeveloped Land Use

## Mitigated Land Use

### Basin 1

Bypass:	No
GroundWater:	No
Pervious Land Use	acre
Pervious Total	0
Impervious Land Use ROOF TOPS FLAT	acre 0.11
Impervious Total	0.11
Basin Total	0.11
Element Flows To:	

Surface Interflow Groundwater Gravel Trench Bed 1 Gravel Trench Bed 1 Routing Elements Predeveloped Routing

## Mitigated Routing

### Gravel Trench Bed 1

Bottom Length: Bottom Width: Trench bottom slope Trench Left side slope Trench right side slope Material thickness of fi Pour Space of materia Material thickness of s Pour Space of materia	1: 0: 2: irst layer: al for first layer: second layer: al for second layer:	30.00 ft. 4.00 ft. 0 To 1 0 To 1 0 To 1 2.5 0.4 0 0
Material thickness of t	hird layer:	0
Pour Space of materia	al for third layer:	0
Infiltration rate:		Q
Infiltration safety facto	r·	0
Wetted surface area (	n	I
Total Volume Infiltrate	d (ac-ft ) <sup>.</sup>	16 913
Total Volume Through	Riser (ac-ft )	0.039
Total Volume Through	Facility (ac-ft.):	16,952
Percent Infiltrated:		99.77
Total Precip Applied to	o Facility:	0
Total Evap From Facil	itv:	Ō
Discharge Structure	,	
Riser Height:	2.5 ft.	
Riser Diameter:	10 in.	
Element Flows To:		
Outlet 1	Outlet 2	

### Gravel Trench Bed Hydraulic Table

Stage(feet)	Area(ac.)	Volume(ac-ft.)	Discharge(cfs)	Infilt(cfs)
0.0000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.0278	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.0556	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.0833	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.1111	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.1389	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.1667	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.1944	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.2222	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.2500	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.2778	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.3056	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.3333	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.3611	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.3889	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.4167	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.4444	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.4722	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.5000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.5278	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.5556	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.5833	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022
0.6111	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.022

0.6389 0.6667 0.6944 0.7222 0.7500 0.7778 0.8056 0.8333 0.8611 0.8889 0.9167 0.9444 0.9722 1.0000 1.0278 1.0556 1.0833 1.1111 1.1389 1.1667 1.1944 1.2222 1.2500 1.2778 1.3056 1.3333 1.3611 1.3889 1.4167 1.4444 1.4722 1.5000 1.5278 1.5556 1.5833 1.6111 1.6389 1.6667 1.6944 1.7222 1.7500 1.7778 1.8056 1.8333 1.8611 1.8389	0.002 0.	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.001 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002	0.000 0	0.022 0.
1.7778 1.8056 1.8333 1.8611 1.8889 1.9167 1.9444 1.9722 2.0000 2.0278 2.0556 2.0833 2.1111 2.1389 2.1667 2.1944 2.2222	0.002 0.	0.001 0.002 0.	0.000 0.0000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	0.022 0.022

0.002	0.002	0.000	0.022
0.002	0.002	0.000	0.022
0.002	0.002	0.000	0.022
0.002	0.002	0.000	0.022
0.002	0.002	0.000	0.022
0.002	0.002	0.000	0.022
0.002	0.002	0.000	0.022
0.002	0.002	0.000	0.022
0.002	0.002	0.000	0.022
0.002	0.002	0.000	0.022
	0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002	0.002	0.0020.0020.0000.0020.0020.0000.0020.0020.0000.0020.0020.0000.0020.0020.0000.0020.0020.0000.0020.0020.0000.0020.0020.0000.0020.0020.0000.0020.0020.0000.0020.0020.0000.0020.0020.0000.0020.0020.000

## Analysis Results

POC 1

POC #1 was not reported because POC must exist in both scenarios and both scenarios must have been run.

## Model Default Modifications

Total of 0 changes have been made.

### **PERLND Changes**

No PERLND changes have been made.

## IMPLND Changes

No IMPLND changes have been made.

## Appendix Predeveloped Schematic

## Mitigated Schematic

	<b>1</b> 777	Basin	1			
	SI					
		Gravel Trench	Bed 1			

## Predeveloped UCI File

### Mitigated UCI File

RUN

GLOBAL WWHM4 model simulation START1948 10 01END2009 09 30RUN INTERP OUTPUT LEVEL30 RESUME 0 RUN 1 UNIT SYSTEM 1 END GLOBAL FILES <File> <Un#> <-----File Name---->\*\*\* \* \* \* <-ID-> WDM 26 Ngyuen Residence.wdm MESSU 25 MitNgyuen Residence.MES 27 MitNgyuen Residence.L61 28 MitNqyuen Residence.L62 POCNgyuen Residencel.dat 30 END FILES OPN SEOUENCE INGRP INDELT 00:15 4 IMPLND 1 1 RCHRES COPY COPY 501 DISPLY 1 END INGRP END OPN SEQUENCE DISPLY DISPLY-INF01 # - #<-----Title---->\*\*\*TRAN PIVL DIG1 FIL1 PYR DIG2 FIL2 YRND Gravel Trench Bed 1 MAX 1 2 30 1 9 END DISPLY-INF01 END DISPLY COPY TIMESERIES # - # NPT NMN \*\*\* 1 1 1 01 1 1 501 END TIMESERIES END COPY GENER OPCODE # # OPCD \*\*\* END OPCODE PARM K \*\*\* # # END PARM END GENER PERLND GEN-INFO <PLS ><-----Name---->NBLKS Unit-systems Printer \*\*\* User t-series Engl Metr \*\*\* # - # \* \* \* in out END GEN-INFO \*\*\* Section PWATER\*\*\* ACTIVITY # - # ATMP SNOW PWAT SED PST PWG PQAL MSTL PEST NITR PHOS TRAC \*\*\* END ACTIVITY PRINT-INFO # - # ATMP SNOW PWAT SED PST PWG PQAL MSTL PEST NITR PHOS TRAC \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* END PRINT-INFO PWAT-PARM1

<PLS > PWATER variable monthly parameter value flags \*\*\* # - # CSNO RTOP UZFG VCS VUZ VNN VIFW VIRC VLE INFC HWT \*\*\* END PWAT-PARM1 PWAT-PARM2 <PLS > PWATER input info: Part 2 \*\*\*
# - # \*\*\*FOREST LZSN INFILT LSUR SLSUR KVARY AGWRC END PWAT-PARM2 PWAT-PARM3 AT-PARM3 <PLS > PWATER input info: Part 3 \*\*\* # - # \*\*\*PETMAX PETMIN INFEXP INFILD DEEPFR BASETP <PLS > AGWETP END PWAT-PARM3 PWAT-PARM4 <PLS > PWATER input info: Part 4 \*\*\*
# - # CEPSC UZSN NSUR INTFW IRC LZETP \*\*\* \* \* \* END PWAT-PARM4 PWAT-STATE1 <PLS > \*\*\* Initial conditions at start of simulation ran from 1990 to end of 1992 (pat 1-11-95) RUN 21 \*\*\* # - # \*\*\* CEPS SURS UZS IFWS LZS AGWS GWVS END PWAT-STATE1 END PERLND TMPLND GEN-INFO <PLS ><-----Name----> Unit-systems Printer \*\*\* # - # User t-series Engl Metr \*\*\* in out \*\*\* 1 1 1 27 0 4 ROOF TOPS/FLAT END GEN-INFO \*\*\* Section IWATER\*\*\* ACTIVITY # - # ATMP SNOW IWAT SLD IWG IQAL 4 0 0 1 0 0 0 \* \* \* END ACTIVITY PRINT-INFO <ILS > \*\*\*\*\*\*\* Print-flags \*\*\*\*\*\*\* PIVL PYR # - # ATMP SNOW IWAT SLD IWG IQAL \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 4 0 0 4 0 0 1 9 END PRINT-INFO IWAT-PARM1 <PLS > IWATER variable monthly parameter value flags \*\*\* # - # CSNO RTOP VRS VNN RTLI \*\*\* 4 0 0 0 0 0 END IWAT-PARM1 IWAT-PARM2 <PLS > IWATER input info: Part 2 \*\*\* # - # \*\*\* LSUR SLSUR NSUR RETSC 4 400 0.01 0.1 0.1 ------END IWAT-PARM2 IWAT-PARM3 <PLS > IWATER input info: Part 3 \* \* \* # - # \*\*\*PETMAX PETMIN 4 0 0 4 END IWAT-PARM3 IWAT-STATE1 <PLS > \*\*\* Initial conditions at start of simulation # - # \*\*\* RETS SURS 0 4 0 END IWAT-STATE1

#### END IMPLND

SCHEMATIC <--Area--> <-Target-> MBLK \*\*\* <-factor-> <Name> # Tbl# \*\*\* <-Source-> <Name> # Basin 1\*\*\* IMPLND 4 RCHRES 1 5 0.11 \*\*\*\*\*\*Routing\*\*\*\*\* 0.11 COPY 1 15 1 COPY 501 17 IMPLND 4 RCHRES 1 END SCHEMATIC NETWORK <-Volume-> <-Grp> <-Member-><--Mult-->Tran <-Target vols> <-Grp> <-Member-> \*\*\* <Name> # <Name> # #<-factor->strg <Name> # # <Name> # # \*\*\* COPY 501 OUTPUT MEAN 1 1 48.4 DISPLY 1 INPUT TIMSER 1 <-Volume-> <-Grp> <-Member-><--Mult-->Tran <-Target vols> <-Grp> <-Member-> \*\*\* <Name> # <Name> # #<-factor->strg <Name> # # <Name> # # \*\*\* END NETWORK RCHRES GEN-INFO RCHRES Name Nexits Unit Systems Printer \* \* \* \* \* \* # - #<----> User T-series Engl Metr LKFG \* \* \* in out Gravel Trench Be-008 2 1 1 1 28 0 1 1 END GEN-INFO \*\*\* Section RCHRES\*\*\* ACTIVITY END ACTIVITY PRINT-INFO 

 # # HYDR ADCA CONS HEAT SED GQL OXRX NUTR PLNK PHCB PIVL PYR \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

 1
 4
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 1
 9

 END PRINT-INFO HYDR-PARM1 \*\*\* 2 2 2 2 2 END HYDR-PARM1 HYDR-PARM2 # - # FTABNO LEN DELTH STCOR KS DB50 \* \* \* <----><----><----><----> \* \* \* 1 1 0.01 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0 END HYDR-PARM2 HYDR-INIT RCHRES Initial conditions for each HYDR section \* \* \* 1 0 4.0 5.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 END HYDR-INIT END RCHRES SPEC-ACTIONS END SPEC-ACTIONS

FTABL	ΓES
-------	-----

FTABLE 92 5

1

9 <u>2</u> J						
Depth	Area	Volume	Outflow1	Outflow2	Velocitv	Travel Time***
	(agreed)	(agree ft)	(afa)	(afa)	$(f + (a \circ a))$	(M; n) + n + n + n
(10)	(acres)	(acre-rt)	(CLS)	(CLS)	(IL/SEC)	(MITTULES)
0.000000	0.002755	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000		
0 027778	0 002755	0 000031	0 000000	0 022222		
0.027770	0.002755	0.000051	0.000000	0.022222		
0.055556	0.002755	0.000061	0.000000	0.022222		
0.083333	0.002755	0.000092	0.00000	0.022222		
0 111111	0 000755	0 000100	0 000000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
0.111111	0.002/55	0.000122	0.000000	0.022222		
0.138889	0.002755	0.000153	0.000000	0.022222		
0 166667	0 002755	0 000184	0 000000	0 000000		
0.100007	0.002755	0.000104	0.000000	0.022222		
0.194444	0.002755	0.000214	0.000000	0.022222		
0 222222	0 002755	0 000245	0 000000	0 022222		
0.252222	0.002755	0.000215	0.000000	0.000000		
0.250000	0.002/55	0.0002/5	0.000000	0.022222		
0.277778	0.002755	0.000306	0.000000	0.022222		
0 305556	0 002755	0 000337	0 000000	0 000000		
0.505550	0.002755	0.000557	0.000000	0.022222		
0.333333	0.002755	0.000367	0.000000	0.022222		
0.361111	0.002755	0.000398	0.000000	0.022222		
0 200000	0 000755	0 000420	0 000000	0 000000		
0.300009	0.002/55	0.000429	0.000000	0.022222		
0.416667	0.002755	0.000459	0.000000	0.022222		
0 444444	0 002755	0 000490	0 000000	0 022222		
0.111111	0.002755	0.000100	0.000000	0.022222		
0.4/2222	0.002/55	0.000520	0.000000	0.022222		
0.500000	0.002755	0.000551	0.000000	0.022222		
0 527778	0 002755	0 000582	0 000000	0 000000		
0.527770	0.002755	0.000502	0.000000	0.022222		
0.555556	0.002755	0.000612	0.000000	0.022222		
0.583333	0.002755	0.000643	0.000000	0.022222		
0 611111	0 002755	0 000672	0 000000	0 000000		
0.011111	0.002755	0.000073	0.000000	0.022222		
0.638889	0.002755	0.000704	0.000000	0.022222		
0.666667	0.002755	0.000735	0.000000	0.022222		
0 691111	0 002755	0 000765	0 000000	0 022222		
0.094444	0.002755	0.000705	0.000000	0.022222		
0.722222	0.002755	0.000796	0.000000	0.022222		
0.750000	0.002755	0.000826	0.000000	0.022222		
0 777778	0 002755	0 000857	0 000000	0 022222		
0.777770	0.002755	0.000007	0.000000	0.022222		
0.805556	0.002/55	0.000888	0.000000	0.022222		
0.833333	0.002755	0.000918	0.000000	0.022222		
0 861111	0 002755	0 000949	0 000000	0 022222		
0.001111	0.002755	0.000010	0.000000	0.022222		
0.888889	0.002/55	0.0009/9	0.000000	0.022222		
0.916667	0.002755	0.001010	0.000000	0.022222		
0 944444	0 002755	0 001041	0 000000	0 022222		
0.011111	0.002755	0.001011	0.000000	0.022222		
0.9/2222	0.002/55	0.0010/1	0.000000	0.022222		
1.000000	0.002755	0.001102	0.000000	0.022222		
1 027778	0 002755	0 001133	0 000000	0 022222		
1 05555	0.002755	0.001163	0.000000	0.022222		
1.055550	0.002/55	0.001103	0.000000	0.022222		
1.083333	0.002755	0.001194	0.000000	0.022222		
1 111111	0 002755	0 001224	0 000000	0 022222		
1 1 2 0 0 0 0	0 000755	0 001255	0 000000	0 0000000		
1.130009	0.002/55	0.001255	0.000000	0.022222		
1.166667	0.002755	0.001286	0.00000	0.022222		
1.194444	0.002755	0.001316	0.000000	0.022222		
1 222222	0 002755	0 001347	0 00000	0 022222		
1 050000	0.002755	0.001347	0.000000	0.022222		
1.250000	0.002/55	0.0013//	0.000000	0.022222		
1.277778	0.002755	0.001408	0.000000	0.022222		
1 305556	0 002755	0 001439	0 000000	0 022222		
1 222220	0 000755	0 001460	0.000000	0 000000		
1.333333	0.002/55	0.001469	0.000000	0.022222		
1.361111	0.002755	0.001500	0.000000	0.022222		
1 388889	0 002755	0 001530	0 000000	0 022222		
1 410000	0.002755	0.00150	0.000000	0.022222		
1.41000/	0.002/55	0.001561	0.000000	0.022222		
1.444444	0.002755	0.001592	0.000000	0.022222		
1.472222	0.002755	0,001622	0.000000	0.022222		
1 500000		0 001652	0 000000	0 000000		
1.500000	0.002/55	0.001003	0.000000	0.022222		
1.527778	0.002755	0.001684	0.00000	0.022222		
1.555556	0.002755	0.001714	0.000000	0.022222		
1 582222	0 002755	0 001745	0 00000	0 022222		
1 611111	0.004/33	0.001743	0.000000	0.044444		
1.011111	0.002755	0.001775	0.000000	0.022222		
1.638889	0.002755	0.001806	0.000000	0.022222		
1.666667	0.002755	0.001837	0.00000	0.022222		
1 604444		0 001067	0 000000	0 000000		
1.094444	0.002/55	0.00100/	0.000000	0.022222		
1.722222	0.002755	0.001898	0.000000	0.022222		
1.750000	0.002755	0.001928	0.000000	0.022222		
1 777770	0 000755		0 000000	0 000000		
T.////Q	0.002/35	0.001959	0.000000	$\cup$ . $\cup$ $\angle$ $\angle$ $\angle$ $\angle$ $\angle$ $\angle$ $\angle$		

1.805556 0.002 1.833333 0.002 1.861111 0.002 1.868889 0.002 1.916667 0.002 1.944444 0.002 1.972222 0.002 2.000000 0.002 2.027778 0.002 2.055556 0.002 2.083333 0.002 2.11111 0.002 2.138889 0.002 2.166667 0.002 2.222222 0.002 2.250000 0.002 2.305556 0.002 2.305556 0.002 2.305556 0.002 2.36111 0.002 2.36111 0.002 2.38889 0.002 2.36111 0.002 2.38889 0.002 2.416667 0.002 2.444444 0.002 2.472222 0.002 2.444444 0.002 2.472222 0.002 2.50000 0.002 2.527778 0.002 2.527778 0.002 2.50000 0.002 2.527778 0.002 2.527778 0.002 2.50000 0.002 2.527778 0.002 2.50000 0.002 2.527778 0.002 2.50000 0.002 2.527778 0.002 2.50000 0.002 2.527778 0.002 2.50000 0.002 2.527778 0.002 END FTABLE 1 END FTABLES	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	0.000000 0.0000000 0.000000 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000000 0.0000000 0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	D.022222 D.022222		
EXT SOURCES		Mult STrop	< Target Holds	< Crn>	< Mombor > ***
<name> # <name></name></name>	# tem strg<-f	actor->strg	<name> # #</name>	< OID>	<name> # # ***</name>
WDM 2 PREC	ENGL 1		PERLND 1 999	EXTNL	PREC
WDM Z PREC WDM 1 EVAP	ENGL I ENGL 0.7	6	PERLND 1 999	EXTNL I	PREC PETINP
WDM 1 EVAP	ENGL 0.7	6	IMPLND 1 999	EXTNL	PETINP
END EXT SOURCES					
EXT TARGETS					
<-Volume-> <-Grp>	<-Member-><	Mult>Tran	<-Volume-> <mer< td=""><td>nber&gt; Ts</td><td>ys Tgap Amd ***</td></mer<>	nber> Ts	ys Tgap Amd ***
RCHRES 1 HYDR	RO 11	1	WDM 1000 FLOW	V = V	GL REPL
RCHRES 1 HYDR	0 11	1	WDM 1001 FLOW	V EN	GL REPL
RCHRES 1 HYDR	0 2 1 STACE 1 1	1	WDM 1002 FLOW		GL REPL
COPY 1 OUTPUT	MEAN 11	48.4	WDM 1003 SIAC WDM 701 FLOW	V = EN(	GL REPL
COPY 501 OUTPUT	MEAN 11	48.4	WDM 801 FLOW	V EN	GL REPL
END EXT TARGETS					
MASS-LINK					
<volume> &lt;-Grp&gt;</volume>	<-Member-><	Mult>	<target></target>	<-Grp>	<-Member->***
MASS-LINK	<nalle> # #&lt;-1 5</nalle>	actor->	<name></name>	·	
IMPLND IWATER	SURO 0.	083333	RCHRES	INFLOW	IVOL
END MASS-LINK	5				
MASS-LINK	15				
IMPLND IWATER	SURO 0.	083333	COPY	INPUT I	MEAN
END MASS-LINK	CΤ				
MASS-LINK	17				
RCHRES OFLOW	OVOL 1		COPY	INPUT I	MEAN
VILTI-COMM UNF	± /				

END MASS-LINK

END RUN

Predeveloped HSPF Message File

### Mitigated HSPF Message File

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6 DATE/TIME: 1981/10/ 6 0:45 RCHRES: 1 The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are: NROWS V1 V2 VOL 92 120.01 123.32 123.95 ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5 DATE/TIME: 1981/10/ 6 0:45 RCHRES: 1 Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are: С Α В RDEP1 rdep2 COUNT 0.0000E+00 240.02 -285.791.1907 1.1907 2 ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6 DATE/TIME: 1990/ 1/ 9 7:15 RCHRES: 1 The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are: NROWS V1 V2 VOL 92 120.01 1.2465E+02 123.32 ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5 DATE/TIME: 1990/ 1/ 9 7:15 RCHRES: 1 Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are: RDEP2 COUNT Α B С RDEP1 0.0000E+00 240.02 -336.60 1.4024 1.4024E+00 2 ERROR/WARNING ID: 6 341 DATE/TIME: 2003/10/20 12:15 RCHRES: 1

The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are:

NROWS V1 V2 VOL 92 1.2001E+02 123.32 1.2347E+02

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5

DATE/TIME: 2003/10/20 12:15

1

RCHRES:

Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are:

A	В	С	RDEP1	RDEP2	COUNT	
0.0000E+00	240.02	-251.	03	1.0459	1.0459	2

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6

DATE/TIME: 2003/10/20 12:30

1

RCHRES:

The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are:

NROWS V1 V2 VOL 92 120.01 123.32 124.72

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5

DATE/TIME: 2003/10/20 12:30

1

RCHRES:

Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are:

A B C RDEP1 RDEP2 COUNT 0.0000E+00 240.02 -341.70 1.4236 1.4236 2

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6

DATE/TIME: 2003/10/20 15:30

1

RCHRES:

The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are:

NRO	WS	V1	V2	VOL
92	120.01	123.32	126.33	

Ngyuen Residence

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5 DATE/TIME: 2003/10/20 15:30 RCHRES: 1 Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are: С RDEP1 RDEP2 COUNT Ά R 0.0000E+00 240.02 -458.03 1.9084 1.9083E+00 2 ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6 DATE/TIME: 2003/10/20 16:45 RCHRES: 1 The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are: NROWS V2 V1 VOL 92 1.2001E+02 123.32 1.2434E+02 ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5 DATE/TIME: 2003/10/20 16:45 RCHRES: 1 Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are: С RDEP1 RDEP2 COUNT Α В 0.0000E+00 240.02 -314.181.3090 1.3090 2 ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6 DATE/TIME: 2003/10/20 17:15 RCHRES: 1 The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are: NROWS V2VOL V1 92 120.01 123.32 123.33 ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5 DATE/TIME: 2003/10/20 17:15 RCHRES: 1 Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive

approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0).

Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are: С RDEP1 RDEP2 COUNT 0.0000E+00 240.02 -240.581.0023 1.0023E+00 2 341 ERROR/WARNING ID: 6 DATE/TIME: 2003/11/18 12: 0 RCHRES: 1 The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are: NROWS V1 V2 VOL 92 1.2001E+02 123.32 123.60 ERROR/WARNING ID: 5 341 DATE/TIME: 2003/11/18 12: 0 RCHRES: 1 Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are: RDEP1 RDEP2 COUNT А С B 0.0000E+00 240.02 -260.77 1.0865 1.0865E+00 2 ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6 DATE/TIME: 2006/11/ 4 19:30 RCHRES: 1 The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are: NROWS V1 V2 VOL 126.45 92 1.2001E+02 123.32 ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5 DATE/TIME: 2006/11/ 4 19:30 RCHRES: 1 Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are: RDEP2 COUNT С RDEP1 R 0.0000E+00 240.02 -466.89 1.9452 1.9452E+00 2 ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6 DATE/TIME: 2007/12/ 3 5:30

Ngyuen Residence

5/25/2023 2:06:38 PM

#### RCHRES:

The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are:

NROWS V1 V2 VOL 92 1.2001E+02 123.32 123.73

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5

1

DATE/TIME: 2007/12/ 3 5:30

1

RCHRES:

Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are:

A B C RDEP1 RDEP2 COUNT 0.0000E+00 240.02 -269.99 1.1249 1.1249 2

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 6

DATE/TIME: 2007/12/ 3 8:15

1

RCHRES:

The volume of water in this reach/mixed reservoir is greater than the value in the "volume" column of the last row of RCHTAB(). To continue the simulation the table has been extrapolated, based on information contained in the last two rows. This will usually result in some loss of accuracy. If depth is being calculated it will also cause an error condition. Relevant data are:

NROWS V1 V2 VOL 92 120.01 123.32 123.76

ERROR/WARNING ID: 341 5

DATE/TIME: 2007/12/ 3 8:15

1

RCHRES:

Calculation of relative depth, using Newton's method of successive approximations, converged to an invalid value (not in range 0.0 to 1.0). Probably ftable was extrapolated. If extrapolation was small, no problem. Remedy; extend ftable. Relevant data are:

 A
 B
 C
 RDEP1
 RDEP2
 COUNT

 0.0000E+00
 240.02
 -272.29
 1.1345
 1.1345E+00
 2

## Disclaimer

### Legal Notice

This program and accompanying documentation is provided 'as-is' without warranty of any kind. The entire risk regarding the performance and results of this program is assumed by the user. Clear Creek Solutions, Inc. disclaims all warranties, either expressed or implied, including but not limited to implied warranties of program and accompanying documentation. In no event shall Clear Creek Solutions, Inc. be liable for any damages whatsoever (including without limitation to damages for loss of business profits, loss of business information, business interruption, and the like) arising out of the use of, or inability to use this program even if Clear Creek Solutions, Inc. has been advised of the possibility of such damages.

Clear Creek Solutions, Inc. 6200 Capitol Blvd. Ste F Olympia, WA. 98501 Toll Free 1(866)943-0304 Local (360)943-0304

www.clearcreeksolutions.com