

N.T.S.

GOVERNING AGENCY

CITY OF MERCER ISLAND PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT 9601 SE 36TH STREET MERCER ISLAND, WA 98040 (206) 275-7608

OWNER/APPLICANT

SAINTFIELD2 LLC P.O. BOX 791 MERCER ISLAND, WA 98040 (206) 749-9600 CONTACT: WES GIESBRECHT

CONSULTANTS

CIVIL: NAVIX ENGINEERING, INC. 11400 SE 6TH STREET, SUITE 150 BELLEVUE, WA 98004 (425) 458-7900 CONTACT: JOE TAFLIN, P.E.

SURVEYOR: CORE DESIGN 12100 NE 195TH ST, SUITE 300 BOTHELL, WA 98011 (425) 885-7877 CONTACT: JEREMY REEFF

ARCHITECT: ARCHITECTURAL INNOVATIONS, P.S. 14311 SE 16TH ST BELLEVUE, WA 98007 (425) 642-8651 CONTACT: SCOTT McMILLEN

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT: LYON LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS 1015 PACIFIC AVE, SUITE 203 TACOMA, WA 9402 (253) 209-4053 CONTACT: MOGHAN LYON

ARBORIST: CREATIVE LANDSCAPE SOLUTIONS SPRINCE@AOL.COM (425) 890-3808 CONTACT: SUSAN PRINCE

PROJECT INFORMATION:

ADDRESS:	7414 78TH AVE SE,
	MERCER ISLAND, WA
ZONING:	R-9.6 (SINGLE FAMIL
TOTAL PARCEL AREA:	41,165 SF (0.945 AC)
PROPOSED NUMBER OF LOTS:	4
MIN. LOT SIZE:	9,600 SF
MIN. LOT DEPTH:	80'
MIN. LOT WIDTH:	75'
MAX. BUILDING HEIGHT:	30'
MAX. GROSS FLOOR AREA:	45% LOT AREA
MAX. LOT COVERAGE:	40% (SLOPE < 15%)
	35% (SLOPE < 30%)
TAX PARCEL NUMBER:	2524049075
SETBACKS:	
FRONT:	20'
REAR:	25'
SIDE:	15' TOTAL (5' MIN.)
FROM PUBLIC R.O.W .:	10'

DATUM AND BENCHMARK:

BASIS OF BEARING FOR THIS SURVEY IS A LINE BETWEEN CITY OF MERCER ISLAND MI 1056 AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 25, T24N, R04E, W.M. AND MERCER ISLAND 1519 AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID QUARTER. BEARING BETWEEN THESE MONUMENTS WAS TAKEN AS SOUTH 46°01'02" WEST.

BASIS OF ELEVATION BASIS OF NAVD88 ELEVATION WAS TAKEN FROM MERCER ISLAND CONTROL MONUMENT 3190 AT THE INTERSECTION OF SE 72ND STREET AND 80TH AVENUE SE. ELEVATION TAKEN AS 302.674'

CHECKED WITH HIGH ACCURACY LEVEL NETWORK TO CITY OF MERCER ISLAND 3188 WITH A CLOSURE OF 0.000' FROM PUBLISHED. ELEVATION OF 3188 WAS TAKEN AT 260.671'.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

THE EAST HALF OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 25, TOWNSHIP 24 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, IN KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON;

EXCEPT THE NORTH 769.98 FEET THEREOF; AND EXCEPT THE SOUTH 450 FEET THEREOF;

AND EXCEPT THE WEST 30 FEET THEREOF.

POWER:

SITUATE IN THE COUNTY OF KING, STATE OF WASHINGTON.

UTILITIES

WATER: CITY OF MERCER ISLAND 9601 SE 36TH STREET MERCER ISLAND, WA 98040 (206) 275-7608 CONTACT: RUJI DING

SANITARY SEWER: CITY OF MERCER ISLAND PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FIRE DEPARTMENT 9601 SE 36TH STREET MERCER ISLAND, WA 98040 (206) 275-7608 CONTACT: RUJI DING

CITY OF MERCER ISLAND 9601 SE 36TH STREET MERCER ISLAND, WA 98040 (206) 275-7608 CONTACT: RUJI DING

STORMWATER:

FIRF CITY OF MERCER ISLAND 3030 78TH AVENUE SE MERCER ISLAND, WA 98040 (206) 275-7966 CONTACT: JEROMY HICKS

PUGET SOUND ENERGY PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT CONTACT: MAKAYLA CANDILIERE MAKAYLA.CANDILIERE@PSE.COM

> TELECOM: CENTURYLINK CONTACT: AMY ALLISTON AMY.ALLISTON@CENTURYLINK.COM COMCAST (425) 263-5353 CONTACT: JEFF BURRIS JEFFREY_BURRIS@COMCAST.COM

LOT INFORMATION:

LOT #		S FLOOR LCULATIONS	LO	T SLOPE CALC	ULATIONS		LOT COVERAGE	CALCULATIONS
	LOT AREA	MAX GROSS FLOOR AREA (SF)	HIGHEST ELEVATION (FT)	LOWEST ELEVATION (FT)	SHORTEST DISTANCE (FT)	LOT SLOPE (%)	NET MAX LOT COVERAGE (%)	NET MAX LOT COVERAGE (SF)
1	16,252	6,501	308.6	291.7	272.7	6.20%	40%	6,501
2	12,959	5,184	311.6	295.7	341.3	4.65%	40%	5,184
3	12,498	4,999	320.5	310.2	129.8	8.00%	40%	4,999
4	15,924 *	6,370	328.2	315.9	211.9	5.82%	40%	5,059

*(GROSS LOT AREA) - (EASEMENT AREA) = NET LOT AREA 15,924-3,277 = 12,647 SF

SFARS PRELIMINARY PLAT PLANS 7414 78TH AVE SE, MERCER ISLAND, WA 98040 CITY OF MERCER ISLAND FILE NO: SUB23-001

, WA 98040 FAMILY) 945 AC)

CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS (MERCER ISLAND) ALL IMPROVEMENTS SHALL BE INSTALLED PURSUANT TO PLANS APPROVED BY THE CITY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE APPROVED CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE.

ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL CONFORM TO THE STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS OF THE CITY OF MERCER ISLAND, CONDITIONS OF PERMITS ISSUED, THE GEOTECHNICAL EVALUATION RECOMMENDATIONS, AND CONSTRUCTION PLANS ACCEPTED BY THE CITY. THE ENGINEER OF RECORD MAY BE REQUIRED TO MONITOR THE CONSTRUCTION, EROSION CONTROL, SITE STABILIZATION MEASURES AND PROVIDE INSPECTION REPORTS TO THE CITY ENGINEER THAT DOCUMENT ALL OF THE WORK PERFORMED.

THE SEASON FOR CLEARING, GRADING, AND THE CONSTRUCTION OF UTILITIES, STORM DRAINAGE FACILITIES, ROADWAYS, AND RETAINING WALLS SHALL NOT BEGIN UNTIL APRIL 1, AND SHALL END BY OCTOBER 1 OF ANY YEAR, UNLESS OTHERWISE APPROVED BY THE CODE OFFICIAL AND CITY ENGINEER.


ALL IMPROVEMENTS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED IN A MANNER THAT RETAINS AS MUCH NATURAL VEGETATION A POSSIBLE.

THE TYPE OF EQUIPMENT TO BE USED FOR LAND CLEARING AND ROADWAY AND UTILITIES CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE DEFINED AT THE PRE-CONSTRUCTION CONFERENCE WITH THE CITY. THE NECESSARY DEVELOPMENT AND ROW USE PERMITS SHALL BE OBTAINED PRIOR TO MOVING EQUIPMENT ONTO THE SITE.

THE CITY ENGINEER MAY REQUIRE THAT CERTAIN IMPROVEMENTS BE HAND DUG.

THE CITY MAY REQUIRE THAT SPECIFIC CLEARING, GRADING, EXCAVATION, OR SENSITIVE CONSTRUCTION WORK BE EVALUATED AND DETAILED BY A GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER. AS A CONDITION FOR COMPLETION OF THE WORK, THE CITY MAY REQUIRE THAT THE ENGINEER BE PRESENT DURING WORK TO MONITOR AND REVIEW SITE CONDITIONS, AND TO RECOMMEND APPROPRIATE SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION TECHNIQUES OR MITIGATING MEASURES.

ALL DAMAGE TO ADJACENT PROPERTIES OR PUBLIC RIGHTS-OF-WAY RESULTING FROM CONSTRUCTION (E.G., SILTATION, MUD, WATER, RUNOFF, ROADWAY DAMAGE CAUSED BY CONSTRUCTION FQUIPMENT OR HAULING) SHALL BE EXPEDITIOUSLY MITIGATED AND REPAIRED BY THE CONTRACTOR, AT THEIR EXPENSE, FAILURE TO MITIGATE AND REPAIR SAID DAMAGE, OR TO COMPLY WITH THE ACCEPTED CONSTRUCTION PLANS. THE PERMITS ISSUED BY THE CITY, OR THE CITY REQUIREMENT FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION SHALL BE CAUSE FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A "STOP WORK" ORDER, FORECLOSURE ON THE PLAT PERFORMANCE GUARANTEE, AND/OR OTHER MEASURES DEEMED APPROPRIATE BY THE CITY ENGINEER.



GENERAL NOTES (NAVIX)

SITE WORK FOR THIS PROJECT SHALL MEET OR EXCEED THE PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS, THE CITY OF MERCER ISLAND STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS, ALL CITY/COUNTY REGULATIONS AND CODES, O.S.H.A. AND THE A.P.W.A. STANDARDS WHICH ARE HEREBY REFERENCED AS PART OF THESE PLANS. THE DESIGN SHOWN IS BASED UPON THE ENGINEER'S UNDERSTANDING OF THE EXISTING CONDITIONS. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR VERIFYING FIELD CONDITIONS PRIOR TO BIDDING THE PROPOSED SITEWORK IMPROVEMENTS. IF CONFLICTS ARE DISCOVERED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE OWNER PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF ANY PORTION OF THE SITEWORK WHICH WOULD BE AFFECTED. IF CONTRACTOR DOES NOT ACCEPT EXISTING SURVEY, INCLUDING TOPOGRAPHY AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS. WITHOUT EXCEPTION, HE SHALL HAVE MADE, AT HIS OWN EXPENSE, A TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY BY A REGISTERED LAND SURVEYOR AND SUBMIT IT TO THE OWNER FOR REVIEW.

CAUTION - NOTICE TO CONTRACTOR THE CONTRACTOR IS SPECIFICALLY CAUTIONED THAT THE LOCATION OF EXISTING UTILITIES AS SHOWN ON THESE PLANS IS BASED ON RECORDS OF THE VARIOUS UTILITY COMPANIES AND, WHERE POSSIBLE, MEASUREMENTS TAKEN IN THE FIELD. THE INFORMATION IS NOT TO BE RELIED ON AS BEING EXACT OR COMPLETE. THE CONTRACTOR MUST CALL THE APPROPRIATE UTILITY COMPANY AT LEAST 48 HOURS BEFORE ANY EXCAVATION TO REQUEST EXACT FIELD LOCATION OF UTILITIES. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO RELOCATE ALL EXISTING UTILITIES WHICH CONFLICT WITH THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS SHOWN ON THESE PLANS.

ALL EXISTING UTILITY CROSSINGS SHALL BE POTHOLED UTILIZING A SOIL VACUUM METHOD TO IDENTIFY THE EXISTING UTILITY PRIOR TO DIGGING WITHIN 5' OF A KNOWN UTILITY.

REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

1. BOUNDARY AND TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY, DATED JUNE 10, 2022, BY CORE DESIGN.

BY TERRA ASSOCIATES, INC.

EXISTING LEGEND

$\leftarrow $	LIGHT POLE WITH
X	LIGHT POLE WITH
Ρ	POWER VAULT
-0-	UTILITY POLE
←	GUY ANCHOR
0>	SIGNAL POLE
	SIGNAL POLE WITH
PM	POWER METER
Ш _Р	POWER JUNCTION
🚫 РНН	POWER HANDHOLE
\bigtriangleup	TRANSFORMER
🔀 ТЅСВ	TRAFFIC SIGNAL C
□ SLCB	STREET LIGHT CON
TV	CABLE TV JUNCTIO
T	COMMUNICATIONS
	TELEPHONE PEDES TELEPHONE JUNCT
G GM	GAS METER
\square	GAS VALVE
W	WATER VAULT
Q	FIRE HYDRANT
\bowtie	WATER VALVE
H WM	WATER METER
⊞ MW	MONITOR WELL
9	BLOWOFF VALVE
	PRESSURE RELIEF
SP	IRRIGATION CONTR


2. GEOTECHNICAL REPORT: PROPOSED SHORT PLAT 7414-78TH AVENUE SOUTHEAST, MERCER ISLAND, WASHINGTON, DATED DECEMBER 15, 2022,


SHEET INDEX

SHEET NO.	DRAWING TITLE
CO.1	COVER SHEET
C1.0	TREE RETENTION AND SITE DEMOLITION PLAN
C1.1	TREE INVENTORY TABLE
C2.0	SITE AND STREET IMPROVEMENT PLAN
C3.0	CONCEPTUAL GRADING PLAN AND PROFILE
C4.0	CONCEPTUAL UTILITY PLAN
S0.1	SHORT PLAT COVER SHEET
S0.2	SHORT PLAT SHEET 2
S0.3	SHORT PLAT SHEET 3
S0.4	SHORT PLAT SHEET 4
S0.5	SHORT PLAT SHEET 5


H ARM		POST INDICATOR VALVE										
h no arm / pedestrian signal pole	FDC	FIRE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION	٨									
	Ň	HOSE BIBB										
		CATCH BASIN, TYPE 1										
		CATCH BASIN, TYPE 2										
		STORM MANHOLE										
TH LUMINAIRE ARM	0 CO	STORM DRAIN CLEANOUT										
	\rightarrow	CULVERT										
	\bigcirc	SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE										
N BOX	O COS	SANITARY SEWER CLEANOUT										
LE		ROCKERY										
	0	BOLLARD	A ALDER									
CONTROL BOX	0	SIGNPOST	AP APPLE B BIRCH									
CONTROL BOX		MAILBOX	C CEDAR CH CHERRY									
TION BOX	\bigtriangledown	WETLAND FLAG	CN CONIFER D DECIDUOUS									
S VAULT ESTAL	\bigcirc	DECIDUOUS TREE	DL DRIPLINE F FIR									
CTION BOX		CONIFEROUS TREE (RADIUS)	H HEMLOCK M MAPLE MA MADRONA									
	•	MONUMENT	O OAK P PINE									
	O R/C	FOUND REBAR/CAP	PL PLUM PO POPLAR									
	+ TK/LD	TACK IN LEAD	RD REDWOOD									
	— G — G — G — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		SP SPRUCE									
EF VALVE	OHT	OVERHEAD TELEPHONE LINE	_									

lief valve NTROL VALVE





D	EMOLITION SCHEDU
KEY	ITEM
	EXISTING BUILDINGS AND ALL /
2	EXISTING RETAINING WALL
3	WATER METER
4	RELOCATE MAILBOX




DULE APPURTENACES

PR	ESERVE/PROTECT
KEY	ITEM
1	RETAINING WALL
2	UTILITY POLE
3	IN-GROUND UTILITY STRUCTURE
4	FIRE HYDRANT
5	MAILBOX
6	EXISTING PAVEMENT
7	TREE PROTECTION FENCE

SITE	
— EXISTING R.O.W. — EASEMENT LINE	
EXISTING CONCRETE ASPHALT PAVEMENT CONCRETE SIDEWALK REMOVED	F AND/
EXISTING GRAVEL TO SEE NOTE 7) be ri
EXISTING CONCRETE ASPHALT PAVEMENT GROUND FOR OVERL	Г ТО ВЕ
ITEM TO REMAIN ITEM TO REMOVE ITEM TO REMINATION	N POINT
	ENCING
TREE TO REMOVE	
TREE TO REMAIN W	/PROTE

TREE RETENTION NOTES

- QUANTITIES OF TREES TO BE REMOVED IN THE TREE RETENTION LEGEND REFLECT TOTAL TREES THAT WILL REQUIRE REMOVAL AS PART OF THE SITE DEVELOPMENT.
- 2. QUANTITIES OF TREES TO REMAIN IN THE TREE RETENTION LEGEND INCLUDES ALL TREES WITHIN THE STUDY AREA.
- 3. PER MCC 19.16.010, A SIGNIFICANT TREE ON MERCER ISLAND IS ANY TREE WITH A DIAMETER OF TEN INCHES OR MORE.
- 4. TREES DESIGNATED FOR REMOVAL WITHIN TREE PROTECTION FENCE SHOULD BE SNAGGED OR FLUSH CUT TO MINIMIZE ROOT DAMAGE TO RE REMAINING TREES. DO NOT REMOVE TREES DESIGNATED FOR REMOVAL WITHIN TREE PROTECTION FENCING AREA BY PUSHING OVER WITH MACHINERY.
- 5. EXISTING TREES TO REMAIN SHALL NOT BE DISTURBED DURING DEMOLITION OF EXISTING STRUCTURES OR CONSTRUCTION OF PROPOSED FEATURES. THIS INCLUDES DAMAGES TO TREE TRUNK, ROOTS, AND LIMBS. IF TREE LIMBS RESTRICT VERTICAL LIMITS OF DEMOLITION MACHINERY, A CERTIFIED ARBORIST MAY LIFT CANOPY BY PRUNING LIMBS UP TRUNK.
- 6. GRAVEL DRIVEWAY INSIDE OF TREE PROTECTION TO BE REMOVED UNDER DIRECTION OF CERTIFIED ARBORIST.



# 1 1 4 2 1 3 1 4 1 5 4 6 1 7 4 8 4 9 1 10 1 12 1 13 1	Tree 8118 8119 8121 8122 8122 8122 8124 8125 8126 8127 8128 8129 8121 8122 8123 8124 8125 8126 8131 8167	Species ID Bigleaf maple Bigleaf maple Bigleaf maple Bigleaf Bigleaf maple Bigleaf	DBH (in) 40.5 23.8 23.8 10 23.8 10 23.8 10 23.8 10 23.8 27.8 27.8 31.2	Adj. DBH (in) 40.5 23.8 23.8 10 26.1 17.8 27.8	Drip-line radius (ft) 20 4 15 24 20 18 18 16	Wind-firm	A A	Poor Fair	Defects/Comments Ivy @ root crown up to 70', co-dominant leaders with included bark x2 @ 5', dead wood, broken branches, moss and lichen Co-dominant leaders with included bark x2 reduced to 1 @ 6', previous top loss @ 12', ivy @ root crown up to 12' Previous ivy @ root crown up to 60', asymmetric canopy towards east Moss and lichen, typical of species, previous top loss @ 60', 2 leaders, asymmetric canopy towards west, dead wood, broken branches, dead scaffolds, low live crown ratio <10% Previous ivy @ root crown up to 50', moss and lichen,	Ret. algain	Ren aldeivnov	Construction Construction			E 20 4		The Large tree DBH > 24" Exceptional Tree MICC 19.16	Located in grove?	Aalue 1	1 Healthy Trees	
# 1 1 4 2 1 3 1 4 1 5 4 6 1 7 4 8 4 9 1 10 1 12 1 13 1	Tag # 8118 8119 8119 8121 8122 8122 8125 8125 8125 8125 8125 8125 8125 8125 8125 8125 8125 8125 8125	Bigleaf maple Bigleaf maple Bigleaf maple Bigleaf maple Bigleaf maple Bigleaf maple Bigleaf maple	(in) 40.5 15.8 23.8 10 26.1 17.8 27.8	DBH (in) 40.5 15.8 23.8 10 26.1 17.8	line radius (ft) 20 4 15 24 20 20 18	Wind-firm	Y	Fair Poor Fair Fair	Ivy @ root crown up to 70', co-dominant leaders with included bark x2 @ 5', dead wood, broken branches, moss and lichen Co-dominant leaders with included bark x2 reduced to 1 @ 6', previous top loss @ 12', ivy @ root crown up to 12' Previous ivy @ root crown up to 60', asymmetric canopy towards east Moss and lichen, typical of species, previous top loss @ 60', 2 leaders, asymmetric canopy towards west, dead wood, broken branches, dead scaffolds, low live crown ratio <10% Previous ivy @ root crown	Viable	Nonviable	1 Construction	N 20 4	w 20 4	E 20 4	s 20 4		Located in grow	1	1	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 8119 8121 8122 8122 8125 8125 8126 8127 8131 	maple Bigleaf maple Bigleaf maple Bigleaf maple Bigleaf maple Bigleaf maple Bigleaf maple	15.8 23.8 10 26.1 17.8 27.8	15.8 23.8 10 26.1 17.8	4 15 24 20 18		Y	Poor Fair Fair	co-dominant leaders with included bark x2 @ 5', dead wood, broken branches, moss and lichen Co-dominant leaders with included bark x2 reduced to 1 @ 6', previous top loss @ 12', ivy @ root crown up to 12' Previous ivy @ root crown up to 60', asymmetric canopy towards east Moss and lichen, typical of species, previous top loss @ 60', 2 leaders, asymmetric canopy towards west, dead wood, broken branches, dead scaffolds, low live crown ratio <10% Previous ivy @ root crown		1	1	4	4	4	4			1	1	
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	8121 8122 8122 8125 8125 8125 8127 8131	maple Bigleaf maple Bigleaf maple Bigleaf maple Douglas fir Bigleaf maple	23.8 10 26.1 17.8 27.8	23.8 10 26.1 17.8	15 24 20 18		=	Fair Fair	included bark x2 reduced to 1 @ 6', previous top loss @ 12', ivy @ root crown up to 12' Previous ivy @ root crown up to 60', asymmetric canopy towards east Moss and lichen, typical of species, previous top loss @ 60', 2 leaders, asymmetric canopy towards west, dead wood, broken branches, dead scaffolds, low live crown ratio <10% Previous ivy @ root crown		1		22 	22	55				2 2 2		
4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13	8122 8124 8125 8126 8127 8131	maple Bigleaf maple Bigleaf maple Douglas fir Bigleaf maple	10 26.1 17.8 27.8	10 26.1 17.8	24 20 18		=	Fair	60', asymmetric canopy towards east Moss and lichen, typical of species, previous top loss @ 60', 2 leaders, asymmetric canopy towards west, dead wood, broken branches, dead scaffolds, low live crown ratio <10% Previous ivy @ root crown				15	15	15	15			1		
5 4 6 7 7 4 8 4 9 1 10 1 11 4 12 1 13 1	8124 8125 8126 8127 8131	maple Bigleaf maple Douglas fir Bigleaf maple Bigleaf Bigleaf maple	26.1 17.8 27.8	26.1 17.8	20 18		Y	201276.0	Moss and lichen, typical of species, previous top loss @ 60', 2 leaders, asymmetric canopy towards west, dead wood, broken branches, dead scaffolds, low live crown ratio <10% Previous ivy @ root crown												
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	8125 8126 8127 8131	maple Bigleaf maple Douglas fir Bigleaf maple Bigleaf	17.8 27.8	17.8	18			Fair		8			24	24	24	24			1	1	
7 4 8 4 9 1 10 1 11 4 13 1	8126 8127 8131	Bigleaf maple Douglas fir Bigleaf maple Bigleaf	27.8						previous top loss, weak		1		20	20	20	20	L		1		
7 4 8 4 9 1 10 1 11 4 13 1	8126 8127 8131	Douglas fir Bigleaf maple Bigleaf	27.8		16			Fair	leaders Ivy @ root crown up to 50', low live crown ratio <10%, moss		1		18	18	18	18			1		╞
9 10 11 12 13	8131	maple	31.2					Poor	and lichen Ivy @ root crown up to 50', abnormal bark, shedding bark, popping bark, woodpecker activity, racoon scat, laminated root rot?		1		16	16	16	16	L		1		
10 11 12 13				31.2	24			Poor	Large cavity @ root crown up towards north, self- corrected lean towards east, ivy @ root crown up to 60', asymmetric canopy towards east, dead wood, broken branches, dead scaffolds		1		24	24	24	24	E		1		
11 4 12 1 13	8167	maple	23.2	23.2	20		Y	Fair	Ivy @ root crown up to 20', moss and lichen, cavity @ 2' up to 4' towards east, asymmetric canopy towards north, typical of species			1	20	20	20	20			1	1	
12 13		Cherry	20.8	20.8	24			Fair	No taper, girdled root? Previous ivy @ root crown up to 30', moss and lichen		1		24	24	24	24			1		
13	8175	Bigleaf maple	26.4	26.4	24			Fair	Ivy @ root crown up to 40', moss and lichen, cavity @ 3' up to 4' towards east, typical of species		1		24	24	24	24	L		1		
	8178 8179	Red alder Leylandii	11.1	11.1	13 10			Poor OK	Failing towards east Self-corrected lean towards north, exposed roots, hanger,		1	1	13 10	13 10	13 10	13 10			1	1	
	8180	cypress Red alder	11.2	11.2	15	No		ок	typical of species Exposed roots, failing towards south, typical of species, average health, structurally OK		1		15	15	15	15			1		
15 4	8183	Douglas fir	47.1	47.1	27			ок	but not windfirm. Abnormal bark, shedding bark, popping bark, horizontal crack in bark @ 10' towards south, woodpecker activity, elongated branches, coning, co-dominant leaders with included bark x2 @ 50', typical of species	1			27	27	27	27	E	<u>v</u> v	1	1	ž
16	8233	Bigleaf maple	41.4	41.4	22			Fair	Roots cut 1' towards south, decay in roots, Hypoxylon canker, moss and lichen, previous top loss @ 15', multiple strong leaders, galls, dead scaffolds, dead wood, broken branches, light fixture		1		22	22	22	22	E		1		
17	8234	Kousa dogwood	14	14	22			ок	Suppressed canopy, asymmetric canopy-west, dead wood, broken branches, typical of species			1	22	22	22	22			1	1	
18	8238	Western red cedar	18.6	18.6	12			ок	Previous ivy @ root crown up to 50', thin canopy, typical of species Exposed roots, serpentine	1			12	12	12	12	a. 9	2	1	1	80
	8239	Red alder Leylandii	12.5	12.5	13		Y		trunk, lean towards north, typical of species	1			13	13	13	10		1	1	1	8
	8241 8242	cypress Leylandii	13.5 14.8	13.5 14.8	9 10		┝	ок ок	Typical of species Typical of species, dead wood, backers branches	1			9 10	9 10	9 10	9 10		1	1	1	762
	8244	cypress Leylandii cypress	12	11.0	9			ок	broken branches Dead wood, broken branches, typical of species	1			9	9	9	9		1	1	1	Contra 10
23	8245	Leylandii cypress	7, 14	15.5	10			ок	Co-dominant leaders with included bark x2 @ 3', typical of species	1			10	10	10	10		1	1	1	ą
24	8246	Leylandii cypress	11	11	8			ок	Dead wood, broken branches, typical of species Previous light fixture, slight	1			8	8	8	8		1	1	1	20
		Douglas fir	23.2	23.2	18			ок	serpentine trunk, typical of species	1			18	18	18	15		1	1	1	2
	2010-03700 2010-03700-037	Douglas fir Douglas fir	16 14	16 14	16 14	<u> </u>	⊢	ок ок	Dead wood, broken branches, typical of species Dead wood, broken branches,	1		1	16 14	16 14	16 14	12 14	<u> </u>	1	1	1	ŝ
e sur a la con		Douglas fir	14	14 13	14		╞	ок	typical of species Co-dominant canopy, typical of species			1	14	14 14	14 14	14 14		1	1	1	┢
29	8252	Hemlock	16.1	16.1	14			Fair	Racoon scat, serpentine trunk, suppressed canopy, dead wood, broken branches, thin canopy, typical of species		1		14	14	14	14			1		
30	8253	Douglas fir	17.9	17.9	16			ок	Typical of species Ivy root crown to 20', self-			1	16	16	16	16		1	1	1	Ĺ


ON SITE TREES


32	8261	Western red cedar	56.6	56.6	28		Y	Fair	Racoon scat, candelabra @ 10', vertical crack @ 5' up to 15' towards north, multiple 24" diameter branches fused towards south, coning, thin canopy			1	28	23	23	23	E	1	1	1		6
33	8262	Western red cedar	19.2, 16.3	25	12			ок	Co-dominant leaders with included bark x2 @ root crown, thin canopy, nurse tree, typical of species	1			12	12	12	12	Ľ	2	1	1	1	
34	8263	Western red cedar	17.1	17.1	13			ок	Asymmetric canopy towards south, slight lean towards south, typical of species	1			13	13	13	13		2	1	1	1	
35	8264	European plum	14	14	14			Poor	Mostly dead, decay throughout		1		14	14	14	14			1			
36	8265	European plum	8, 12	14.5	20 south only	2		Fair	Co-dominant leaders with included bark x2 @ root crown, lean towards south, asymmetric canopy towards south		1		20	20	20	20			1		í.	
37	8267	Hemlock	14	14	16			Poor	Self-corrected lean towards north, lean towards north, exposed roots, asymmetric canopy towards south		1		16	16	16	16			1			
38	8269	Grand fir	18.2	18.2	18			ок	Thin canopy, vertical crack in bark root crown up to 30', typical of species	1			11	18	18	18		2	1	1	1	
39	8272	Bigleaf maple	22.9	22.9	20			Fair	Nurse tree, exposed roots, previous top loss, asymmetric canopy towards west, typical of species, dead scaffolds		1		20	20	20	20			1			
40	8273	Bigleaf maple	19.2	19.2	23		Y	Fair	Nurse tree, self-corrected lean towards north, lean towards south, moss and lichen, asymmetric canopy towards			1	23	23	23	23		2	1	1		- 22
41	8274	Bigleaf maple	26	26	18			Poor	south Mostly dead, Ganoderma		1		18	18	18	18	Ľ		1			
42	8275	Bigleaf maple	23	23	20			ок	Moss and lichen, exposed roots, ivy @ root crown up to 30', dead wood, broken branches, typical of species		s	1	20	20	20	20	9	2	1	1	8	2
43	8276	Bigleaf maple	27.1	27.1	16			Poor	Taps hollow, Ganoderma @ 3' towards east, ivy @ root crown up to 60', nurse tree, previous top loss @ 50', cavity @ root crown up to 4' towards west, Hypoxylon canker		1		16	16	16	16	L		1			
44	8277	Bigleaf maple	34.4	34.4	24			Poor	Co-dominant leaders with included bark x2 @ 8', ivy @ root crown to top of tree 60', cavity @ root crown up to 4' towards east		1		24	24	24	24	E		1			
45	8279	European plum	14	14	16			Poor	Twisted trunk, large cavity @ root crown up to 4' towards east, dead scaffolds, gummosis		1		16	16	16	16			1			
46	8281	Bigleaf maple	11.5	11.5	24			ок	ist, dead scaffolds, gummosis oss and lichen, serpentine unk, typical of species, lean wards north, asymmetric inopy towards north, dominant inopy			1	24	24	24	24		3	1	1		-00-1
47	8283	Bigleaf	10.8	10.8	18			ок	Moss and lichen, exposed roots,		-	1	18	18	18	18		3	1	1	_	1
48	8284	maple Bigleaf maple	21.8	21.8	16		Y	Fair	typical of species Ivy @ root crown up to 50', moss and lichen, low live crown ratio <10%, horizontal crack @ 4' towards south			1	16	16	16	16		2	1	1		8
49	8285	Bigleaf maple	16.5	16.5	16			Poor	Sweep towards south, moss and lichen, previous top loss @ 40', weak leaders		1		16	16	16	16			1			
50	8286	Bigleaf maple	14.8	14.8	18		Y	Fair	Moss and lichen, serpentine trunk, lead towards east, typical of species			1	18	18	18	18		2	1	1		a a a
51	8289	Bigleaf maple	20.2	20.2	22			Fair	Moss and lichen, self-corrected lean towards east, dead wood, broken branches, typical of species, racoon scat, Hypoxylon canker @ 1' towards east		1		22	22	22	22			1			
52	8290	Bigleaf maple	14.8	14.8	18			ок	Moss and lichen, typical of species			1	18	18	18	18		3	1	1		
53	8291	Bigleaf maple	11	11	16 south only			ок	Lean towards south, asymmetric canopy towards south, moss and lichen, typical of species			1	16	16	16	16		3	1	1		1
54	8292	Red alder	17.1	17.1	21			Poor	Abnormal bark, shedding bark, previous top loss @ 40', no leaders Asymmetric canopy towards		1		21	21	21	21		-	1			
55	8294	Bigleaf maple	12	12	14			ок	north, typical of species, no access			1	14	14	14	14		3	1	1		
56	8295	Bigleaf maple Bitter	12	12	16			ок	Typical of species, no access Moss and lichen, previous top			1	16	16	16	16		3	1	1	1. 1.	
57	8296	cherry Bitter	19	19	24			ок	loss, vertical cracks in bark Ivy @ root crown up to 20',			1	24	24	24	24		3	1	1		
58 59	8298 8300	cherry European	10 12	10 12	14 26			OK Poor	typical of species Failing towards southeast, lean		1	1	14 26	14 26	14 26	14 26		3	1	1	-	1000
59 60	8300 8304	plum Bigleaf	12 16.4	12	26 18		_	Poor	>45° Abnormal bark, shedding bark,		1		26 18	26 18	26 18	26 18			1			-
61	8305	maple Bigleaf maple	6, 5, 4, 4, 3	10	16			Fair	mostly dead Co-dominant leaders with included bark x5 @ root crown, moss and lichen, twisted trunks, dead scaffolds		1		16	16	16	16			1			
62	8306	Bigleaf maple	10.4	10.4	20			ок	Moss and lichen, asymmetric canopy towards west, typical of species			1	20	20	20	20		3	1	1	0	
63	8309	Bigleaf maple	17.5	17.5	24			Poor	Exposed roots, mostly dead, previous root failure, previous top loss @ 40', weak leader		1		24	24	24	24			1			
64	8312	Bigleaf maple	12	12	20			Poor	Previous top loss @ 15', weak leaders, poor pruning with decay		1		20	20	20	20		-	1			
65	8313	Bigleaf maple	11	11	12			Fair	leaders, poor pruning with decav Ivy @ root crown up to 45' top of tree, low live crown ratio <5%, dead wood, broken branches, moss and lichen		1		12	12	12	12			1			
66	8314	Western red cedar	45.7	45.7	22			ок	Thin canopy, previous top loss, elongated branches, racoon scat, drought stress	1			22	22	22	22	E		1	1	1	

67	8318	Bigleaf maple	39.1	39.1	28			Poor	Ivy @ root crown up to 30', column of decay 7' up to 12' towards north, co-dominant leaders with included bark x2 @ 7', low live crown ratio <10%, moss and lichen, exposed roots, previous top failure @ 40'		1		28	28	28	28	E	1	n z		
68	8320	Red alder	18	18	10			Poor	Previous large trunk failure,		1	2	10	10	10	10		1	÷		
69	8321	Bigleaf maple	28.2	28.2	12		-	Poor	resprout Mostly dead, ivy @ root crown up to 70', dead top	8	1		12	12	12	12	L	1			
70	8323	Bigleaf maple	13.8	13.8	10			Poor	Ivy @ root crown up to 50' top of tree		1		10	10	10	10		1			
71	8324	Bigleaf maple	11.4	11.4	18 west only			Fair	Ivy @ root crown up to 40'		1		18	18	18	18		1			
72	8325	Douglas fir	42	42	24	·······		Poor	Previous ivy @ root crown up to 40', previous top loss @ 80', weak leaders	so	1		24	24	24	24	E	1			
73	8326	Bigleaf maple	15.6	15.6	23			ок	Asymmetric canopy to south, co- dominant canopy, moss and lichen, typical of species	20 20		1	23	23	23	23		1	1		2
74	8327	Bigleaf maple	2, 6.5	7	16 east			Poor	Co-dominant leaders with included bark x2 @ 3', dead		1		16	16	16	16		1			
75	8329	Bigleaf maple	10.5	10.5	only 15			ок	spur, hangers Moss and lichen, ivy @ root crown up to 60', previous top loss, elongated branches, co- dominant canopy, typical of species			1	15	15	15	15		1	1		2
76	8330	Bigleaf maple	11.1	11.1	14			ок	Serpentine trunk, previous ivy @ root crown up to 40', low live crown ratio <10%, co-dominant canopy, lean towards north			1	14	14	14	14		1	1		2
77	8332	Bigleaf maple	12.3	12.3	12			Poor	Ivy @ root crown up to 40', no visible canopy	;;	1		12	12	12	12		1			
78	8333	Bigleaf maple	16, 17.2	23.5	26			Fair	Co-dominant leaders with included bark x2 @ root crown, ivy @ root crown up to 40', previous top loss, moss and lichen, asymmetric canopy towards north, dead wood, broken branches, dead spur, decay in center		1		26	26	26	26	-	1			
79	8334	Bigleaf maple	14.2	14.2	22			Fair	Ivy @ root crown u to 20*, suppressed canopy, previous top loss, asymmetric canopy towards east, moss and lichen, low live crown ratio dying		1		22	22	22	22		1			
80	8340	Bigleaf maple	14	14	14			ок	Ivy @ root crown up to 12', lean towards south, typical of species	1			14	14	14	14		1	1	1	
81	8347	Bigleaf maple	12	12	18			ОК	Serpentine trunk, moss and lichen, typical of species			1	18	18	18	18		1	1		2
82	8356	Douglas fir	37.2	37.2	18		Y	Fair	Previous ivy @ root crown up to 30', abnormal bark, shedding bark, popping bark, previous top loss, elongated branches, dead wood, broken branches, hanger, debris over crown, typical of species	1			18	18	18	18	E	1	1	1	
83	8357	Bigleaf maple	11.4	11.4	12		Y	Fair	Co-dominant leaders with included bark x2 reduced to 1 @ 15', weak leader, previous ivy @ root crown up to 20'	1			12	12	12	12		1	1	1	
84	8358	Bigleaf maple	10.6	10.6	10			ок	Low live crown ratio <30%, asymmetric canopy towards north, suppressed canopy, dead wood, broken branches, typical of species	1		-	10	10	10	10		1	1	1	
85	8360	Bigleaf maple	14.2	14.2	18		Y	Fair	Ivy @ root crown up to 15', moss and lichen, asymmetric canopy towards north, typical of species			1	18	18	18	18		1	1		2
86	8361	Bigleaf maple	23	23	18		Y	Fair	Moss and lichen, ivy @ root crown up to 30', dead wood, broken branches, wrapped by 6" Red alder, dead scaffolds	1			18	12	18	12		1	1	1	


OFF SITE TREES


1	2	3	4	5	6		7	8	9	1	0	11						
			6			1 				and the second	osed tion	(CRZ/TI	PZ/LO	>			
			-	Adj.	Drip-	and the second second				Ret	ain		Radius	in fee	t			
#	Tree Tag #	Species ID	DBH inches	DBH inches	line radius feet	Wind- firm	OK in Grove	Health	Defects/Comments	Viable	Non- viable	N	w	E	S			
1	8195	Deodora cedar	26	26	12 over fence		Y	Fair	thin canopy, asymmetric canopy south dead wood, broken branches	1		12	12	12	12			
2	8196	Hemlock	20	20	2 over fence			Poor	2 large vertical caracks 30-45' East, previous top loss @ 50', coning, thin canopy		1	2	2	2	2			
3	8266	Western red cedar	18	18	14			ок	Thin canopy, typical of species, vertical crack @ root crown up to 6' towards north	1		14	14	14	14			
4	8270	Bigleaf maple	36	36	24 over fence			Poor	Cavity @ root crown up to 4' towards east, serpentine trunk, previous large scaffold failure @ 15' towards north resulting in a large cavity		1	13	24	24	24			
5	8400	Grand fir	12	12	2 over fence			ок	Suppressed canopy, typical of species	1		2	2	2	2			
6	8401	Bigleaf maple	28	28	0 over fence		Y	Fair	Previous top loss, strong leaders, asymmetric canopy towards south, typical of species	1		0	0	0	0			
7	8402	Bigleaf maple	26	26	4 over fence			ок	Serpentine trunk, decay @ root crown, lean towards south, typical of species	1		4	4	4	4			
8	8403	Hemlock	13	13	9 over fence			Fair	Exposed roots, thin canopy, suppressed canopy		1	9	9	9	9			
9	8404	Norway spruce	12	12	0 over fence			Poor	Previous top loss, elongated branches, free flowing sap, lean towards south		1	0	0	0	0			
10	8405	Grand fir	18	18	0 over fence			ок	Dead wood, broken branches, co- dominant canopy	1		0	0	0	0			
11	8406	Bigleaf maple	26	26	0 over fence			Poor	Previous top loss @ 70'		1	0	0	0	0			












TOTAL S	SITE AREA:	51,300 SF (1.178 /
	SITE FILL:	
TOTAL S	SITE CUT:	1,000 CY
TOTAL		700 01/

	PROPERTY LINE
— — — — 84— — — —	EXISTING CONTOUR
	MINOR CONTOUR
<u> 400 </u>	MAJOR CONTOUR
•	SPOT ELEVATION
1.3%	SLOPE ARROWS




NOTES:

1. THE DESIGN FOR THE STORM, SEWER, AND WATER UTILITIES ARE PRELIMINARY ONLY. THE DETAILED DESIGN WILL BE REVIEWED DURING THE SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT PHASE AFTER THE PRELIMINARY SHORT PLAT IS APPROVED.

2. THE CONDITION AND SIZE OF THE EXISTING SIDE SEWER WILL NEED TO BE VERIFIED DURING THE SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT AFTER THE PRELIMINARY SHORT PLAT IS APPROVED.

UTILITY KEY NOTES		
KEY	ITEM	
1	SD STUB FOR FUTURE CONNECTION	
2	WATER STUB FOR FUTURE CONNECTION	
3	SANITARY SEWER STUB FOR FUTURE CONNECTION	
4	ELECTRICAL HANDHOLE/VAULT TO BE SIZED BY PSE	
5	TELECOM HANDHOLE/VAULT TO BE SIZED BY PROVIDER	
6	ADJUST EX. CB RIM TO GRADE. REPLACE GRATED LID W/ SOLID LID	
7	PRIVATE UTILITY EASEMENT	
8	PRIVATE WATER EASEMENT	



----- PRIVATE WATER MAIN PIPE

----- DOMESTIC WATER PIPE

WATER METER/DCVA

POST INDICATOR VALVE

WATER

STORM

•

 \bigcirc

so so STORM DRAIN PIPE

STORM DRAIN CLEANOUT


CATCH BASIN TYPE 1/1L

CATCH BASIN TYPE 2

SANITARY	SEWER
SANITARY	SEWER
SANITARY	SEWER
	SANITARY SANITARY SANITARY

STORMWATER GENERAL NOTES

1. NUMBER OF STORMFILTER CATCH BASINS TO BE FINALIZED DURING SITE DEVELOPMENT DESIGN/REVIEW.



A PLAT COMMUNITY A PORTION OF THE NW 1/4, OF THE SE 1/4, SECTION 25, TOWNSHIP 24 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, W.M. CITY OF MERCER ISLAND KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON SUB23-001

DECLARATION

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS THAT SAINTFIELD2, LLC, THE UNDERSIGNED OWNER(S), IN FEE SIMPLE OF THE LAND HEREBY PLATTED, HEREBY DECLARE THIS SHORT PLAT AND CONVEY TO THE LOTS A PERPETUAL EASEMENT FOR INGRESS AND EGRESS. AND UTILITIES OVER. UNDER AND ON ALL PRIVATE STREETS, AVENUES, AND PLACES AS SHOWN ON THE PLAT; AND HEREBY DECLARE AND GRANT EASEMENTS TO ANY WATER, SEWER, ELECTRIC, TELEPHONE, TELEVISION CABLE, GAS OR OTHER UTILITIES AS SHOWN ON THE PLAT PROVIDING SERVICE TO THIS SUBDIVISION OR OTHER PROPERTY. ALSO, THE RIGHT TO MAKE ALL NECESSARY SLOPES FOR CUTS AND FILLS UPON LOTS, BLOCKS, TRACTS. ETC. SHOWN ON THIS PLAT IN THE REASONABLE ORIGINAL GRADING OF ALL THE PRIVATE STREETS, AVENUES, PLACES, ETC. SHOWN HEREON. ALSO, THE RIGHT TO DRAIN ALL STREETS OVER AND ACROSS ANY LOT OR LOTS WHERE WATER MIGHT TAKE A NATURAL COURSE AFTER THE STREET OR STREETS ARE GRADED.

FOLLOWING ORIGINAL REASONABLE GRADING OF ROADS AND WAYS HEREON, NO DRAINAGE WATERS ON ANY LOT OR LOTS SHALL BE DIVERTED OR BLOCKED FROM THEIR NATURAL COURSE SO AS TO DISCHARGE UPON ANY PRIVATE ROAD RIGHTS-OF-WAY TO HAMPER PROPER ROAD DRAINAGE. ANY ENCLOSING OF DRAINAGE WATERS IN CULVERTS OR DRAINS OR REROUTING THEREOF ACROSS ANY LOT AS MAY BE UNDERTAKEN BY OR FOR THE OWNER OF ANY LOT SHALL BE DONE BY AND AT THE EXPENSE OF SUCH OWNER AND SHALL BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANY PERMITS NEEDED FROM THE REGULATING GOVERNMENT AGENCY(S).

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, WE SET OUR HANDS AND SEALS THIS ____ DAY OF ____, 20__.

SAINTFIELD2, LLC, A WASHINGTON LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

)SS

BY: _____ ITS: _____

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF WASHINGTON	
COUNTY OF KING	

I CERTIFY THAT I KNOW OR HAVE SATISFACTORY EVIDENCE THAT _ IS THE PERSON WHO APPEARED BEFORE ME, AND SAID PERSON ACKNOWLEDGED THAT HE SIGNED THIS INSTRUMENT, ON OATH STATED THAT HE WAS AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE THE INSTRUMENT AND ACKNOWLEDGED IT AS THE ______ OF SAINTFIELD2, LLC, TO BE THE FREE AND VOLUNTARY ACT OF SUCH PARTY FOR THE USES AND PURPOSES MENTIONED IN THE INSTRUMENT.

DATED: _____, 20____.

PRINTED NAME: _

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

RESIDING AT ___

MY APPOINTMENT EXPIRES _____

APPROVALS


CITY OF MERCER ISLAND CODE OFFICIAL EXAMINED AND APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 20__.

CODE OFFICIAL

CITY OF MERCER ISLAND ENGINEER EXAMINED AND APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 20___.

CITY ENGINEER

SHEET INDEX



SEARS SHORT PLAT

TRACT NOTES

1. TRACT A, PRIVATE ACCESS AND UTILITIES TRACTS, IS HEREBY GRANTED AND CONVEYED, ALONG WITH ALL MAINTENANCE OBLIGATIONS, TO THE ______ HOME OWNERS ASSOCIATION. SHOULD THE ______ HOME OWNERS ASSOCIATION FAIL TO PROPERLY MAINTAIN SAID TRACT, THE OWNERS OF LOTS 1 THROUGH 4 OF THIS SHORT PLAT SHALL BE EQUALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MAINTENANCE OF THE UTILITIES AND DRIVEWAYS WITHIN SAID TRACT.

PRIVATE EASEMENT PROVISIONS (P.E.P.)

- THE PRIVATE UTILITY EASEMENT OVER LOTS 1 THROUGH 4 AND TRACT A IS TO THE BENEFIT OF 1 THE OWNERS OF LOTS 1 THROUGH 4. THE OWNERS OF LOTS 1 THROUGH 4 ARE HEREBY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MAINTENANCE OF THE PRIVATE UTILITIES FOR WHICH THEY HAVE SOLE BENEFIT OF USE AND SHALL SHARE EQUALLY IN THE MAINTENANCE RESPONSIBILITIES FOR THE UTILITIES USED IN COMMON WITHIN SAID EASEMENT.
- 2. THE PRIVATE WATER EASEMENT OVER LOT 1 IS TO THE BENEFIT OF THE OWNERS OF LOTS 2 THROUGH 4. THE OWNERS OF LOTS 1 THROUGH 4 ARE HEREBY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MAINTENANCE OF THE PRIVATE PRIVATE WATER LINES FOR WHICH THEY HAVE SOLE BENEFIT OF USE AND SHALL SHARE EQUALLY IN THE MAINTENANCE RESPONSIBILITIES FOR THE PRIVATE WATER LINES USED IN COMMON WITHIN SAID EASEMENT.

LAND SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS FINAL SHORT PLAT OF SEARS SHORT PLAT CORRECTLY REPRESENTS A SURVEY MADE BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECTION IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SURVEY RECORDING ACT AT THE REQUEST OF SAINTFIELD2, LLC IN _____, 20_, AND SAID SURVEY WAS BASED UPON AN ACTUAL SURVEY OF SECTION 25, TOWNSHIP 24 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, W.M.; THAT THIS PLAT IS A TRUE AND CORRECT REPRESENTATION OF THE LANDS ACTUALLY SURVEYED; THAT THE COURSES AND DISTANCES SHOWN HEREON ARE CORRECT; THAT (1) MONUMENTS AS DESCRIBED AND SHOWN HEREON, UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE HEREON, WILL BE SET AT ALL LOT CORNERS AS SHOWN; (2) MONUMENTS AS DESCRIBED AND SHOWN HEREON AS "SET" HAVE BEEN SET AS SHOWN; AND (3) ALL MONUMENTS DESCRIBED AND SHOWN HEREON THAT ARE SHOWN "TO BE SET" WITHIN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY, WITHIN AND WITHOUT THE BOUNDARY OF THIS SUBDIVISION, WILL BE SET AFTER THE STREET IS PAVED.

ROBERT D. WEST, PLS PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR CERTIFICATE NO. 44653

RECORDING CERTIFICATE

FILED FOR RECORD OF THE KING COUNTY COUNCIL THIS _____ DAY OF _ <u>,</u> 20____, AT_ MINUTES PAST _____ AND RECORDED IN VOLUME _____ OF PLATS, PAGE(S)_____, RECORDS OF KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON.

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND ELECTIONS

MANAGER

SUPERINTENDENT OF RECORDS




CIVIL ENGINEERING LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE PLANNING SURVEYING

RECORDING NO._

PORTION OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER, SECTION 25, TOWNSHIP 24 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON.

DAVID WAS

JOB NO. 22178 12100 NE 195th St, Suite 300 Bothell, Washington 98011 425.885.7877



LINE #	BEARING	LENGTH
L1	N89°06'32"W	12.19
L2	N58°20'38"W	8.28
L3	N88*40'35"W	35.17
L4	N01°19'17"E	36.14
L5	N88°40'06"W	14.88
L6	N01°03'59"E	21.14
L7	N88°40'35"W	16.62
L8	N58°20'38"W	8.22
L9	N89°06'32"W	8.18
L10	N89°16'01"W	23.95
L11	N65°10'28"W	9.70
L12	N01°15'18"E	11.09
L13	N88°44'34"W	10.00
L14	N01°15'20"E	11.09
L15	N01°15'26"E	10.16
L16	N88•44'34"W	10.00
L17	N01°15'26"E	10.16
L18	N88•44'49"W	6.54
L19	N46°53'36"E	10.07
L20	N88°44'34"W	30.98
1		

NTY, WASHINGTON.		THOSE SHOWN ON THE MAP AND DISCLOSED BY THE REFERENCED CHICAGO TITLE COMMITMENT. CORE DESIGN, INC. HAS RELIED WHOLLY ON CHICAGO TITLE'S REPRESENTATIONS OF THE TITLE'S CONDITION TO PREPARE THIS SURVEY AND THEREFORE CORE DESIGN, INC. QUALIFIES THE MAP'S ACCURACY AND COMPLETENESS TO THAT EXTENT.
NTY, WASHINGTON.	2.	THIS SURVEY REPRESENTS VISIBLE PHYSICAL IMPROVEMENT CONDITIONS EXISTING ON JUNE 7, 2022. ALL SURVEY CONTROL INDICATED AS "FOUND" WAS RECOVERED FOR THIS PROJECT IN JUNE, 2022.
	3.	PROPERTY AREA = $68,828 \pm$ SQUARE FEET (1.5801 \pm ACRES).

